London Borough of Brent Summary of Decisions taken by the Highways Committee on Wednesday, 18 November 2009

PRESENT: Councillor Brown (Chair), Councillor Wharton (Vice-Chair) and Councillors Detre and Van Colle

ABSENT: Councillor Matthews

ALSO PRESENT: Councillors Fox, Long and J Moher

Agenda Item No	Item	Ward(s)	Decision
5.	Petitions		 i) Petition against the proposed extension of the Controlled Parking Zone NT in Dudden Hill Mr J K Mehta, the Chairman of the Neasden Neighbourhood Watch Scheme, presented a petition against a proposed extension of the Controlled Parking Zone NT in Dudden Hill. This petition was discussed under the agenda item below. ii) Petition for consultation about a proposed Controlled Parking Zone in the Preston Road/Northwick Park area Mr M Maurice presented a petition calling for a consultation exercise among residents in the Preston Road/Northwick Park area. This petition was discussed under the agenda item below.
6.	Progress Report on Controlled Parking Zones Programme	All Wards;	RESOLVED:

London Borough of Brent – Summary of Decisions taken by the Highways Committee on Wednesday, 18 November 2009 (continued)

Agenda Item No	ltem	Ward(s)	Decision
			 (i) that the outcome of the consultation with residents of the zone NT extension area in Neasden to introduce a controlled parking scheme as detailed in paragraphs 3.8 to 3.14 be noted, and that Clifford Way be included in the NT CPZ (subject to statutory consultation), and that the CPZ proposals in the other consulted streets not be implemented; (ii) that the outcome of the consultation with residents and businesses of All Souls Avenue (section) as detailed in paragraphs 3.15 to 3.21 be
			 All Souls Avenue (section) as detailed in paragraphs 5.15 to 5.21 be noted, and that that section of All Souls Avenue be included in zone KH CPZ, subject to statutory consultation; (iii) that it be noted that officers will meet the petition organiser and other representatives from the Preston and South Kenton area to identify issues to be investigated, so that proper consideration can be given to including an informed proposal within the 2010/11 CPZ work programme;
			 (iv) that the Head of Transportation be authorised to consider objections and representations during the statutory consultation mentioned within the Details section of the report and that the Head of Transportation report back to members, if there are substantial objections or concerns raised; otherwise he be authorised to implement the schemes; and (v) that the petitions received be noted and that organisers be informed of the Committee decisions.